## THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ANNA CHIMANSKI, et., al.,

Case No. <u>17-CV-4306</u>

**Plaintiff** 

VS.

HON. AMY J. ST.EVE

#### FRONTIER AIRLINES INC

### **Defendant**

# PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES PURSUANT TO RULE 12(f)(2) OF FED. R. CIV. PROCEDURE

**NOW COMES** the above-named Plaintiffs, ANNA CHIMANSKI and ILONA CHIMANSKI, by their counsel of record, Attorney Vladimir M. Gorokhovsky of Gorokhovsky Law Offices, LLC and pursuant to the Rule 12(f) of Fed. R. Civ. Procedure hereby moving this Honorable Court for an Order of Striking Defendant's Affirmative Defenses as plead by the above-named Defendant in its Answer & Affirmative Defenses filed on 8-7-17.

First, this motion to strike affirmative defenses is brought to expedite prompt resolution of this matter<sup>1</sup>, pursuant to Rule 12(c)(2) of Fed.R.Civ.Pro within 21 days of defendant's filling of an answer on the grounds that said affirmative defenses No. 1 through 8 as plead by defendant in its Answer on 8-7-17 without assertion of any factual support violate pleading standard as set forth in Rule 8(c) of Fed.R.Civ. Procedure. See, Rule 8(c), Fed.R.Civ.Pro, *also see* Bell Atlantic Co. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>). Motions to strike are appropriate to expedite litigation. See <u>Heller Fin., Inc. v.</u> <u>Midwhey Powder Co.</u>, 883 F.2d 1286, 1294 (7th Cir. 1989); *see also* <u>Talbot v. Robert</u> <u>Matthews Distrib. Co.</u>, 961 F.2d 654, 664 (7th Cir. 1992) (allegations may be stricken if the matter bears no possible relation to controversy).

(2007)(holding that complaints must state a claim to relief that is "plausible on its face.") and Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662 (2009)(holding that "labels and conclusions" are now insufficient, as are "naked assertions devoid of further factual enhancement" and "unadorned the-defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation[s]."

Noticeably, in its answer filed on 8-7-17 the above-named defendant asserted various affirmative defenses in par. 1 through 7, pages: §§ 16-17 of their Answer & Affirmative Defenses, which are legally erroneous, or not relevant to allegations set forth in plaintiff's pleadings; or plead by the defendant without any facts in support of said affirmative defenses. See, Dkt: 10: Answer and Affirmative Defenses of Frontier Airlines System, 8-7-17, Affirmative Defenses, par. 1 - 7, pp. 16-17. Such pleading of affirmative defenses as asserted in Defendant's Answer & Affirmative defenses violates standard of pleading promulgated in this Court. Cf, Dkt: 10: Answer and Affirmative Defenses of Frontier Airlines System, 8-7-17, Affirmative Defenses, par. 1 - 7, pp. 16-17 with State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company v. Riley, (N.D.Ill. 2001), 199 F.R.D. 276 (holding that "it is unacceptable for a party's attorney simply to mouth ADs in formulalike fashion ("laches," "estoppel," "statute of limitations" or what have you), for that does not do the job of apprising opposing counsel and this Court of the predicate for the claimed defense — which is after all the goal of notice pleading")(also holding that "any such AD will also be stricken, but with leave often granted to advance a properly fleshedout AD to the same effect the next time around"); Bobbitt v. Victorian House, Inc., 532 F. Supp. 734, 736-37 (N.D. Ill.1982), a decision later approved in Heller Financial, Inc. v. Midwhey Powder Co., 883 F.2d 1286, 1294 (7th Cir. 1989); Cassetica Software, Inc. v. Computer Sciences Corp., No. 11 C 2187, 2011 WL 4431031 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 22, 2011);

Pavlik v. FDIC, No. 10 C 816, 2010 WL 3937621, at \*4 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 5, 2010) (St. Eve, J.) (applying Twombly and Iqbal to affirmative defenses).

Therefore, the above-named Plaintiff submit to this Court that vaguely pleading of affirmative defenses without any factual support by the above-named defendant in its recently filed Answer not only violate the progeny of <a href="Twombly">Twombly</a> and <a href="Iqbal">Iqbal</a> doctrines as adopted in this Court. <a href="Yash Raj Films">Yash Raj Films</a> (USA) Inc. v. Atlantic Video, No. 03 C 7069, 2004 WL 1200184 (N.D. Ill. May 28, 2004). Importantly, such defendant's pleadings also violate well settled standards of practice before this Court as summarized by Hon. Milton I. Shadur in Appendix to his decision in <a href="State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance">State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance</a> Company v. Riley. <a href="See">See</a>, <a href="State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company</a>, (N.D.Ill. 2001), 199 F.R.D. at 276 (holding that "it is unacceptable for a party's attorney simply to mouth ADs in formula-like fashion ("laches," "estoppel," "statute of limitations" or what have you), for that does not do the job of apprising opposing counsel and this Court of the predicate for the claimed defense — which is after all the goal of notice pleading")(also holding that "any such AD will also be stricken, but with leave often granted to advance a properly fleshed-out AD to the same effect the next time around.")

**IN FRUTHER SUPPORT** of this motion the above-named Plaintiffs are hereby respectfully submitting her Memorandum of Law and Facts, the true and correct copy of which is appended herewith marked as Exhibit 1, pp. 1-11 as incorporated herein by reference and made a part of this entire motion to strike affirmative defenses.

**WHEREFORE,** the above-named Plaintiffs are in good faith praying for an order striking of an affirmative defenses No. 1 through No. 7 as insufficiently plead in

defendant's Answer and Affirmative Defenses filed by Frontier Airlines Inc on 8-7-17; and for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated this Motion on this <u>17<sup>th</sup></u> day of <u>August</u> 2017.

Respectfully submitted by GOROKHOVSKY LAW OFFICES, L.L.C. Attorney for Plaintiff

Vladimir Gorokhovsky, Esq.

BY: <u>/s/ Vladimir Gorokhovsky</u>
VLADIMIR GOROKHOVSKY, LL.M.
ILND TR. 10994

## **P.O. Business Address:**

Gorokhovsky Law Offices, LLC 10919 North Hedgewood Ln., Mequon, WI 53092 Telephone: (414)-218-1870 gorlawoffice@yahoo.com

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE:**

I hereby certify that on August 28, 2017, I caused a copy of the foregoing to be electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF, which will send electronic notification to the parties and registered attorneys of record that the document has been filed and is available for viewing and downloading.

BY: Vladimir Gorokhovsky, Esq.